DGR

A universa model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

D G Rossiter

Cornell University, Section of Soil & Crop Sciences

Nanjing Normal University, Geographic Sciences Department 南京师范大学地理学学院

June 23, 2022

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

A universal model of spatial variation Example – aquifer elevation Example – soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

2 Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (OK)

3 Universal Kriging (UK)



Table of Contents

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

A universal model of spatial variation Example – aguifer elevation Example – soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

3 Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Attributes are distributed over space due to a combination of **processes**:

· Process 1: due to other spatially-distributed attributes

Concept

- · e.g., elevation \rightarrow temperature; land cover class \rightarrow vegetation density
- Process 2: due to a **spatial trend** (a function of the coördinates)
 - $\cdot~$ e.g., distance from source \rightarrow rock stratum thickness
- · Process 3: due to local effects
 - $\cdot\,$ e.g., diffusion from a point source \rightarrow disease/pest incidence in a crop field

Autocorrelation

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

- Example aquifer elevation
- Example soil spatial variation Conceptual issues
- Geostatistics
- Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram
- Prediction
- Ordinary Kriging (OK)
- Universal Kriging (UK)
- Kriging with External Drift (KED)

- \cdot "Auto" = self, i.e., an attribute correlated with itself
- · Compare the attribute of one instance with that of another instance of the *same* attribute
- · Define how to compare:
 - · time: temporal autocorrelation (e.g., of time series)
 - · space: spatial autocorrelation

Equation

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

- Example aquifer elevation
- Example soil spatial variation Conceptual issues
- Geostatistics
- Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an
- variogram
- Prediction
- Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

$$Z(\mathbf{s}) = Z^*(\mathbf{s}) + \varepsilon(\mathbf{s}) + \varepsilon'(\mathbf{s})$$

- (s) a location in space, designated by a **vector** of coördinates
- *Z*(**s**) **true** (unknown) value of some property at the location
- Z*(s) deterministic component, due to some known or modelled non-stochastic process
 - $\epsilon(\mathbf{s})$ spatially-autocorrelated stochastic component
 - $\varepsilon'(\mathbf{s})~$ pure ("white") **noise**, no structure

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

- Example aquifer elevation
- Example soil spatial variation Conceptual issues
- Geostatistics
- Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction
- Ordinary Kriging (OK)
- Universal Kriging (UK)
- Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Two types of the deterministic components $Z^*(\mathbf{s})$

- as function of **spatially-distributed covariates** (Process 1)
- \cdot as a **trend**: a function of the coördinates (Process 2)
- $\cdot\,$ these can have the same mathematical structure and be determined by the same algorithms
 - · covariates: multiple regression, random forests ...
 - trend: low-degree polynomials, generalized additive models, thin-plate splines

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

- Example aquifer elevation
- Example soil spatial variation
- Conceptual Issues
- Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging
- Universal Kriging (UK
- Kriging with External Drift (KED)

How do we fit the universal model?

- $Z^*(\mathbf{s})$ · by a **process** model (simulation)
 - by an expert or heuristic model, e.g., stratification, e.g., into map units (polygons)
 - by an empirical-statistical ("regression") model in feature ("attribute") space
 - by an empirical-statistical model in geographic space ("trend surface")
 - $\epsilon(\mathbf{s})$ · as a realization of a spatially-correlated random field using geostatistics
 - $\varepsilon'(\mathbf{s})$ · can not not be modelled, but can be quantified \rightarrow prediction **uncertainty**

Table of Contents

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

A universa model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing ar empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

A universal model of spatial variation Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Oniversal Kriging (UK)

4 Kriging with External Drift (KED)

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Source: Olea, R. A., & Davis, J. C. (1999). Sampling analysis and mapping of water levels in the High Plains aquifer of Kansas (KGS Open File Report No. 1999-11). Lawrence, Kansas: Kansas Geological Survey.¹

• **attribute**: elevation (US feet) above sea level of the top of an aquifer in Kansas (USA)²; NAVD 88 vertical datum

- **georeference**: observed at a large number of wells, position UTM Zone 14N, NAD83 meters
- · Q: What determines the spatial variation?
- · Q: How can we **model** this from the observations?
- Use the fitted model to **predict** at unsampled locations, either individual locations (proposed new wells) or over a fine-resolution grid

A 2D geographic example

¹Retrieved from http://www.kgs.ku.edu/Hydro/Levels/OFR99_11/ ²http://www.kgs.ku.edu/HighPlains/HPA_Atlas/

DGR

A universa model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriaino

(OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

PLATE 1

MEASURED OBSERVATION WELLS IN THE HIGH PLAINS AQUIFER, JANUARY 1999

Reality: $Z(\mathbf{s}) = Z^*(\mathbf{s}) + \varepsilon(\mathbf{s}) + \varepsilon'(\mathbf{s})$

Observations

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Some well sites on imagery background



DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

Universal

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Observations - text display

Elevation of aquifer, ft



2D georeference, one attribute

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

Universal Kriging (UK

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Observations - postplot



Elevation of aquifer, ft

Q: How to divide these observations of Z(s) into $Z^*(s)$, $\varepsilon(s)$, and $\varepsilon'(s)$?

DGR

A universa model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

Universal Kriging (UK

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

(1) A deterministic trend surface $Z^*(\mathbf{s})$

Second-order trend surface



process: dipping and slightly deformed sandstone rock **modelled** with a 2nd-order polynomial (empirical-statistical model) **trend surface**

DGR

A universa model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

(2) A spatially-correlated random field $\varepsilon(\mathbf{s})$

SK: residuals of 2nd order trend



process: local variations from trend **modelled** by **variogram modelling** of the random field and simple **kriging**

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

(OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

We do not know! but **assume** and **hope** it looks like this:

white noise



Quantified as uncertainty of the other fits

(3) White noise $\varepsilon'(\mathbf{s})$

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (XE)

Universal Kriging (UK

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Model with both trend and local variations $Z^*(\mathbf{s}) + \varepsilon(\mathbf{s})$



Aquifer elevation. ft

RK prediction

DGR

A universa model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Unexplained variation $\varepsilon'(\mathbf{s})$



Computation depends on model form (here: Generalized Least Squares trend + Simple Kriging of GLS residuals)

DGR

A universa model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Wodelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Model predictions shown on the landscape



Google Earth, PNG ground overlay, KML control file

Table of Contents

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

A universal model of spatial variation Example – aquifer elevation Example – soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Oniversal Kriging (UK)

4 Kriging with External Drift (KED)

DGR

A universa model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Wodelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

Universal

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

. . .

Example: soil spatial variation (1)

- (s) area of interest; **discretized** and considered as **blocks** with some finite **support**
- $Z(\mathbf{s})$ true block mean and within-block variation
- Z*(s) effect of soil-forming factors that can be modelled
 - same factors → same soil properties: Jenny (1941) 'clorpt' model.
 - includes strata (thematic maps units),
 "continuous" fields
 - includes regional geographic trends (e.g., climate gradient)

DGR

A universa model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation

. . .

. . .

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Example: soil spatial variation (2)

$\epsilon(s)$ spatially-correlated stochastic component, modelled in geographic space

- local deviations from average effect of soil-forming factors
- some part of this is often spatially-correlated; this we can model

DGR

A universa model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Example: soil spatial variation (3)

$\epsilon'(\mathbf{s})$ pure ("white") **noise**

- non-deterministic and not spatially-correlated
- \cdot includes variation at finer scale than support
- includes sampling and measurement imprecision ("error")

measurement imprecision (all included in "noise"):

- \cdot georeferencing / field location
- · sampling protocol, sampling procedures
- · lab. methods, lab. procedures, lab. quality control

Table of Contents

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example – aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation

Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

1 A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (OK)

B Universal Kriging (UK)

4 Kriging with External Drift (KED)

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation

Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram model Parameterizing a empirical variogram

Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Conceptual issues with the universal model

- "Deterministic" implies that some process always operates the same way with the same inputs.
 - · Any deviations are considered noise and included in $\varepsilon(\mathbf{s})$ or $\varepsilon'(\mathbf{s})$.
 - "Deterministic" is **operationally** defined as "we can model it as if it were deterministic"
 - $\cdot \,$ We are *not* really asserting that nature is deterministic.
- The spatially-autocorrelated stochastic component is assumed to be one realization of a spatially-correlated random process
 - · This is usually a convenient fiction to allow modelling.
 - · It may include a spatially-correlated deterministic component that we don't know how to model.
 - · It is a stochastic process, so there is **uncertainty** which is considered pure noise
- **3** The **"pure noise"** component may also have a structure but at a finer scale than we can measure.
 - · It also contains our ignorance about the deterministic process and spatially-correlated random process

Table of Contents

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Wodelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing ar empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED) A universal model of spatial variation Example - aquifer elevation Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

2 Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Oniversal Kriging (UK)

4 Kriging with External Drift (KED)

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Definition

2 Detecting spatial autocorrelation

- B Modelling spatial autocorrelation
- Predicting from a model of spatial autocorrelation and a set of observations

Geostatistics

Table of Contents

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition

Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (VC)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

A universal model of spatial variation Example - aquifer elevation Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

2 Geostatistics Definition

Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (OK)

3 Universal Kriging (UK)

4 Kriging with External Drift (KED)

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition

Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

Universal

Kriging with External Drift (KED) "... a collection of methods used in the analysis of a particular kind of spatial data in which measured values Y_i at spatial locations u_i can be regarded as noisy observations from an underlying process in continuous space." ³

Key phrase: "underlying process". Note **continuous** space but **discrete** (noisy) observations.

http://www.leg.ufpr.br/geoR/geoRdoc/bayeskrige.pdf

³Ribeiro, P.J. Jr. and Diggle, P.J. (1999) *Bayesian inference in Gaussian model-based geostatistics*. Tech. Report ST-99-08, Dept Maths and Stats, Lancaster University.

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition

Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK

Kriging with External Drift (KED) "In particular, in a geostatistical analysis **spatial interpolation** or smoothing of the observed values is often carried out by a procedure known as **kriging**. In its basic form, kriging involves the construction of a **linear predictor for an unobserved value of the process**, and the form of this linear predictor is chosen with reference to the **covariance structure** of the data as estimated by a data-analytic tool known as the **variogram**."

Key phrase: "covariance structure" of the process.

DGR

"Geostatistics" - definition

- model of spatial variation
- Example aquifer elevation
- Example soil spatial variation Conceptual issues
- Geostatistics
- Definition
- Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram model: Parameterizing ar empirical variogram Prediction
- Ordinary Kriging (OK)
- Universal Kriging (UK)
- Kriging with External Drift (KED)

- Inferential statistics about a population with spatial reference, i.e. coördinates:
 - Any number of dimensions (1D, 2D, 3D ...);
 - · Any geometry;
 - · Any coördinate reference system (CRS), including locally-defined coördinates;
 - $\cdot\,$ There must be defined distance and area metrics.
- Key point: **observations** and **predictions** of the target variable (and possibly co-variables) are made at **known locations** in geographic space.

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition

Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Simple case: no deterministic component

- Suppose no geographic trend or spatially-distributed covariates
- · Then $Z^*(\mathbf{s}) \equiv \mu$, where μ is the stationary spatial mean.
- · The universal model:

$$Z(\mathbf{s}) = \mathbf{Z}^*(\mathbf{s}) + \varepsilon(\mathbf{s}) + \varepsilon'(\mathbf{s})$$
(1)

· becomes:

$$Z(\mathbf{s}) = \mu + \varepsilon(\mathbf{s}) + \varepsilon'(\mathbf{s})$$
(2)

This is a model **assumption!**

- The technical term here is **first-order stationarity**; later we relax this assumption.
- We want to model the **structure** of $\varepsilon(s)$ and ignore the **pure noise** $\varepsilon'(s)$
- the noise sets a lower bound on the precision of predictions made with the fitted model.

Table of Contents

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issue

Geostatistics

Definition

Detecting spatial autocorrelation

Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

A universal model of spatial variation Example - aquifer elevation Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

2 Geostatistics

Definition

Detecting spatial autocorrelation

Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (OK)

3 Universal Kriging (UK)

4 Kriging with External Drift (KED)

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquife elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition

Detecting spatial autocorrelation

Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Post plot:

Symbol size proportional to attribute value

Axes are geographic coördinate

Soil samples, Swiss Jura

A "point" observation dataset



Q: Is there spatial autocorrelation of the Pb concentrations?

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation

Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition

Detecting spatial autocorrelation

Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Observation locations on the landscape


DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation

Geostatistics

Definition

Detecting spatial autocorrelation

Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (OV)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Detecting local spatial autocorrelation

If there is local spatial autocorrelation, we need to **detect** it (empirically) and then **model** it (mathematically).

- · detection: h-scatterplot, correlogram or variogram
- modelling: "authorized" model of spatial covariance



DGR

- A universal model of spatial variation
- Example aquifer elevation
- Example soil spatial variation
- Conceptual issues
- Geostatistics
- Definition
- Detecting spatial autocorrelation
- Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging
- Universal Kriging (UK)
- Kriging with External Drift (KED)

- Any two observations in geographic space are a **point-pair**.
 - We know (1) their coördinate s; (2) their **attribute values** (what was measured about them) z(s).
- For an *n*-observation dataset, there are (n * (n 1)/2) unique point-pairs.
 - · E.g., 150-point dataset has 150 · 149/2 = 11 175 pairs!
- Each pair is separated in **geographic space** by a **distance** and (if >1D) **direction**.
- Each pair is separated in **feature** (attribute) **space** by the **difference** between their attribute values.



DGR

- A universal model of spatial variation
- Example aquifer elevation
- Example soil spatial variation
- Conceptual issues
- Geostatistics
- Definition
- Detecting spatial autocorrelation
- Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging
- Universal Kriging (UK)
- Kriging with External Drift (KED)

- We now have a relation between the "distance" in **feature** (attribute) and the distance in **geographic** spaces.
 - Question: Is there any **structure** to this relation? If so, how to **model** (quantify)?
 - $\cdot\,$ If so, there is local spatial dependence in the attribute.
 - Note the relation betwen feature and geographic space was considered **globally** in **trend surfaces**, here we look at **local** and **distance-dependent** relations.

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquife elevation

Example - soil spatial variation

Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition

Detecting spatial autocorrelation

Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED) • *Define* the **semivariance** γ of one point-pair as:

$$\gamma(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{s}_j) \equiv \frac{1}{2} [z(\mathbf{s}_i) - z(\mathbf{s}_j)]^2$$

- This quantifies the **difference** between the **attributes** values at the two points.
- $\cdot\,$ Squared because the order of point-pairs is irrelevant
- 1/2 for technical reasons in the kriging equations (see later)

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation

conceptual losae

Geostatistic

Definition

Detecting spatial autocorrelation

Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

h-scatterplot: correlation between point-pairs



Increasing lag distance $h \rightarrow$ decreasing linear correlation r.

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation

Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition

Detecting spatial autocorrelation

Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Evidence of spatial autocorrelation from the *h*-scatterplot

- Point-pairs compared against the 1:1 line (equal values would be on the line)
- $\cdot~$ More scatter from the 1:1 line \rightarrow less linear correlation
- If the **sequence of lags** from close to far also shows **increasing scatter** (i.e., decreasing correlation), this is evidence of local spatial autocorrelation.

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation

Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition

Detecting spatial autocorrelation

Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

· A scatterplot showing, for *all* point-pairs:

(x-axis) the separation distance between the two observations (x-axis) their semivariance slide

(y-axis) their semivariance slide



Variogram cloud

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition

Detecting spatial autocorrelation

Modelling Spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)



	Vari	ogram	clo	ud	- de	etail
>	(vc <- va	riogram(logZn cutoff	~ 1, me =72, cl	use, oud=Ti	RUE))	
	dist	gamma	left r	ight		
1	70.83784	1.144082e-03	2	1		
2	67.00746	9.815006e-05	11	10		
3	62.64982	2.504076e-02	22	21		
4	53.00000	2.375806e-03	23	22		
5	49.24429	8.749351e-05	26	25		
6	62.62587	5.128294e-03	33	32		
7	65.60488	6.655118e-04	39	38		
8	63.07139	2.403081e-03	72	71		
9	63.63961	4.318603e-03	76	75		
10	60.44005	4.486439e-03	84	9		
11	43.93177	1.326441e-02	87	72		
12	65.43699	8.178006e-02	87	80		
13	56.04463	8.764773e-03	88	73		
14	55.22681	6.198261e-02	88	79		
15	60.41523	5.680995e-03	123	58		
16	60.82763	5.583388e-05	124	52		
17	63.15853	1.344946e-01	138	76		

139 77

140 91

- · Note the anomalous point-pair.
- This is difficult to interpret and model, so we summarize this with an **empirical variogram** (see next).

18 56.36488 2.996326e-03

19 68.24222 8.550172e-03

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition

Detecting spatial autocorrelation

Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

Universal Kriging (UK

Kriging with External Drift (KED) Summarize the cloud as average semivariance $\overline{\gamma}(h)$ in some separation range h

$$\overline{\gamma}(\mathbf{h}) = \frac{1}{2m(\mathbf{h})} \sum_{i=1}^{m(\mathbf{h})} [z(\mathbf{s}_i) - z(\mathbf{s}_i + \mathbf{h})]^2$$

- $m(\mathbf{h})$ is the number of **point pairs** separated by vector \mathbf{h} , in practice some range of separations ("bin")
- · these are indexed by i
- the notation $z(\mathbf{s}_i + \mathbf{h})$ means the "tail" of point-pair *i*, i.e., separated from the "head" \mathbf{s}_i by the separation vector \mathbf{h} .

Empirical semivariogram - graph



DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquife elevation

Example - soil spatial variation

Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition

Detecting spatial autocorrelation

Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)



Empirical semivariogram - numerical

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation Example - ag

elevation Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issue

Geostatistics

Definition

Detecting spatial autocorrelation

Modelling Spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (VC)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

>	(v <-	- variogram	(logZn ~ 1,	meuse,	cutoff=1300,	width=90))
	np	dist	gamma			
1	41	72.24836	0.02649954			
2	212	142.88031	0.03242411			
3	320	227.32202	0.04818895			
4	371	315.85549	0.06543093			
5	423	406.44801	0.08025949			
6	458	496.09401	0.09509850			
7	455	586.78634	0.10656591			
8	466	677.39566	0.10333481			
9	503	764.55712	0.11461332			
10	480	856.69422	0.12924402			
11	468	944.02864	0.12290106			
12	460	1033.62277	0.12820318			
13	422	1125.63214	0.13206510			
14	408	1212.62350	0.11591294			
15	173	1280.65364	0.11719960			

- \cdot np = number of point-pairs in bin
- dist = average separation between the point-pairs in bin (here, meters)
- · gamma = average semivariance $\overline{\gamma}(\mathbf{h})$ between the point-pairs in bin (here, $\log_{10} Zn^2$)
- \cdot Obvious trend: wider separation \rightarrow larger semivariance

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation

Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition

Detecting spatial autocorrelation

Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (OX)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

For >1D geometries:

- · distance only: the omni-directional variogram
- · distance and angle: a directional variogram
 - $\cdot\,$ includes a tolerance angle and/or maximum width

Separation types

DGR

- A universal model of spatial variation
- Example aquifer elevation
- Example soil spatial variation
- Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition

Detecting spatial autocorrelation

- Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging
- Universal Kriging (UK)
- Kriging with External Drift (KED)

- **Spatial Dependence**: a relation between semivariance and separation.
- Closer in **geographic** space means closer in **feature** space.
 - $\cdot\,$ i.e., knowing the attribute value at one observation gives some clue about the value at a "nearby" point
 - $\cdot\;$ The closer to known points, the stronger the clue
- Visualize/infer by plotting the empirical semivariogram(s).
- $\cdot\,$ If there appears to be evidence, then model

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation

Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition

Detecting spatial autocorrelation

Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK

Kriging with External Drift (KED) sill (also total Maximum semivariance at any separation

range separation at which the sill is reached or approximated

nugget semivariance at zero separation (at a point)

structural sill (also partial sill) the total sill less the nugget

· i.e., the portion due to spatial autocorrelation

nugget/sill ratio proportion of total sill due to the nugget, i.e., unexplainable

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation

Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition

Detecting spatial autocorrelation

Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Annotated empirical variogram

log10Pb, Jura soil samples



Nugget/sill ratio \approx 0.42 \rightarrow variability not explained $\epsilon'(s)$

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation

Geostatistics

Definition

Detecting spatial autocorrelation

Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Evidence of spatial autocorrelation from the variogram

The empirical variogram provides **evidence** that there is **local spatial autocorrelation**.

- The **variation** between point-pairs is **lower** if they are **closer** to each other; i.e. the separation is small.
- There is some distance, the **range** where this effect is noted; beyond the range there is no autocorrelation.
- The **relative magnitude** of the **total sill** and **nugget** give the **strength** of the local spatial autocorrelation; the **nugget** represents completely **unexplained** variation.
- If there is no spatial autocorrelation, we have a **pure nugget** variogram.

Annotated empirical variogram - no spatial autocorrelation



Random fluctuations around sill, due to sampling variation and binning

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

spatial variation Example - aquife elevation Example - soil spatial variation

Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition

Detecting spatial autocorrelation

Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram model Parameterizing ar empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation

Conceptual issue

Geostatistic

Definition

Detecting spatial autocorrelation

Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

- Recall: it is based on some **sample** which represents the **population**.
- A different sample of the same size would give a different variogram. Would they be consistent?
 - · i.e., when modelled (see below) would they result in more-or-less the same model?
- Simulation studies: e.g., Webster, R., & Oliver, M. A. (1992). *Sample adequately to estimate variograms of soil properties.* Journal of Soil Science, 43(1), 177-192.
- Conclusion: 150 to 200 observations allow reliable reconstruction of a known variogram model in the isotropic case.

Table of Contents

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issue

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spati autocorrelation

Modelling spatial autocorrelation

Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

A universal model of spatial variation Example - aquifer elevation Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

2 Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation **Modelling spatial autocorrelation** Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Oniversal Kriging (UK)

4 Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Modelling spatial autocorrelation

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation

Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spat autocorrelation

Modelling spatial autocorrelation

Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

(OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

- Aim: To fit a **mathematical model** to an empirical variogram
- This model must be based on some **theory** this is a modelling **assumption**.
- · Theory: random fields⁴

⁴Source: Webster, R., & Oliver, M. A. (2001). *Geostatistics for environmental scientists*, John Wiley& Sons, Ltd.

DGR

- A universal model of spatial variation
- Example aquifer elevation
- Example soil spatial variation
- Geostatistics
- Definition Detecting spat autocorrelatior

Modelling spatial autocorrelation

- Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging
- Ordinary Kriging (OK)
- Universal Kriging (UK)
- Kriging with External Drift (KED)

- Assumption: The observed attribute values are only one of many possible realisations of a random ("stochastic") process
- This process is **spatially autocorrelated**, i.e., observations are **not independent**
- $\cdot\,$ The result is called a random field
- Different stochastic processes are represented by different models of spatial covariance
- · There is only one reality (which is sampled)
- From our one reality, we need to **infer the process** that produced it
- This dictates the proper **authorized variogram** (or, covariance) **function**.

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquife elevation

Example - soil spatial variation

conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatia autocorrelation

Modelling spatial autocorrelation

Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Four realizations of the same random field



256 x 256 grid; Spherical model; range 25; no nugget

Modelling paradigm

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

- A universal model of spatial variation
- Example aquifer elevation
- Example soil spatial variation Conceptual issues
- Geostatistics
- Definition Detecting spati autocorrelation

Modelling spatial autocorrelation

- Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (OK)
- Universal Kriging (UK)
- Kriging with External Drift (KED)

- Assume reality is one realization of a regionalized variable (structure to be determined)
- 2 Assume any spatial autocorrelation has the same structure everywhere
 - · This is 2nd-order stationarity
 - $\cdot\,$ A major assumption and difficult to verify
- 3 Make observations; summarize as an **empirical variogram**
- Select a model of spatial autocorrelation
- **9 Parameterize** (fit) the selected model to the empirical variogram

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example – aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatia autocorrelation

Modelling spatial autocorrelation

Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED) Various methods, more-or-less in order of preference:

- What is known about the **spatial process** that produced the field
- Previous studies of the same variable in similar circumstances
- S Visual assessment of the variogram form
- () Try to fit many, *maybe* automatic selection by "best" fit
- S Problem with "best" fit: depends on:
 - 1 variogram cutoff, bin width
 - criterion for "best", e.g., more weight to more point-pairs and closer separations
 - 3 other forms may fit almost as well

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquife elevation

Example - soil spatial variation

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatia autocorrelation

Modelling spatial autocorrelation

Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED) same model parameters

Different processes

Four regionalized covariance models



Table of Contents

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example – aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatia autocorrelation Modelling spatia autocorrelation

Variogram models

Parameterizing a empirical variogram Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED) A universal model of spatial variation Example - aquifer elevation Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

2 Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation

Variogram models

Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Oniversal Kriging (UK)

4 Kriging with External Drift (KED)

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatia autocorrelation Modelling spatia

Variogram models

Parameterizing a empirical variogram

Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

- Only some forms are **authorized**, i.e., will lead to positive-definite kriging matrices (see below). We review a few common models.
- All can be raised by the **nugget** variance c_0 .
- The simplest is the **Exponential** model: sill *c*, **effective range** 3*a*

$$\gamma(h) = c\left(1 - e^{\left(-\frac{h}{a}\right)}\right)$$

- Autocorrelation decreases exponentially with separation the minimum spatial dependence.
- This is an *asymptotic* model: variance **approaches** a sill at some **effective range**, by convention, where y = 0.95c.

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation

Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction

(OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED) **Gaussian** model: sill *c*, effective range $\sqrt{3}a$:

$$\gamma(h) = c\left(1 - e^{-\left(\frac{h}{a}\right)^2}\right)$$

This has strong spatial continuity near the origin (0-separation), e.g., water table elevation, smoothly-varying terrain properties

Variogram model equations (3)

basis of geostatistics DGR

Conceptual

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation

Variogram models

Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED) **Matérn** model family: *generalizes* the Exponential, Power, Logarithmic and Gaussian models

$$\gamma(h) = c \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\kappa-1}\Gamma(\kappa)} \left(\frac{h}{a} \right)^{\kappa} K_{\kappa} \left(\frac{h}{a} \right) \right)$$

- smoothness parameter is κ ; this adjust the variogram model to the process.
- $\cdot\,$ small κ implies that the spatial process is rough, large $\kappa\,$ smooth.
- · K_{κ} is a modified Bessel function of the second kind
- $\cdot \ \Gamma$ is the Gamma function (generalization of the factorial function)
- · if $\kappa = 0.5$ this reduces to the exponential model
- · if $\kappa = \infty$ this reduces to the Gaussian model
- · most common values are $\kappa = 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2$

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquife elevation Example - soil

Conceptual issues

Geostatistic

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models

Parameterizing an empirical variogram

Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)



separation h

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation

Variogram models

Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED) **Spherical** model: sill *c*, range *a*

$$\gamma(h) = \begin{cases} c\left(\frac{3}{2}\frac{h}{a} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{h}{a}\right)^3\right) & : h < a \\ c & : h \ge a \end{cases}$$

This is **almost linear** near the origin, reaches the sill *c* at the range *a* and is then **constant**, with a **"shoulder"** transition between.

It is often applied when the variable occurs in somewhat homogeneous **patches** with gradual boundaries, e.g., vegetation density, soil properties.

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models

Parameterizing empirical variogram Prediction

(OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Comparing variogram models - same parameters



DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation

Variogram models

Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction

(OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Fitted variogram models to same empirical variogram

• 1171_ 5 • 1243 966 749 • 784 • 543 1114 • 1170 Semivariance 5 Circular ŝ Spherical Pentaspherical Exponential Gaussian 0 0.0 0.5 1.5 1.0

Separation distance Fitted to Jura Cobalt

Variogram model forms

Combining models

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

- Example aquifer elevation
- Example soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatia

Variogram models

Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

- · Any **linear combination** of authorized models is also authorized
- · Models with > 1 spatial structure at different ranges
- · Common example: nugget + structural
- e.g. nugget + exponential

$$\gamma(h) = \mathbf{c_0} + c_1 \left(1 - e^{\left(-\frac{h}{a}\right)}\right)$$

Structure at two ranges: e.g., nugget + exponential + exponential

$$\gamma(h) = \mathbf{c_0} + c_1 \left(1 - e^{\left(-\frac{h}{a_1}\right)}\right) + c_2 \left(1 - e^{\left(-\frac{h}{a_2}\right)}\right)$$

Combining variogram models

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models

Parameterizir empirical variogram Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)



Models nugget, short range (3a = 180) and long range (3a = 900) structures

Table of Contents

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatia autocorrelation Modelling spatia autocorrelation Variogram mode

Parameterizing an empirical variogram

Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

A universal model of spatial variation Example - aquifer elevation Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

2 Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models

Parameterizing an empirical variogram

Prediction Ordinary Kriging (OK)

3 Universal Kriging (UK)

4 Kriging with External Drift (KED)
DGR

- A universal model of spatial variation
- Example aquifer elevation
- Example soil spatial variation Conceptual issues
- Geostatistics
- Definition Detecting spatia autocorrelation
- Modelling spatia autocorrelation
- Variogram models
- Parameterizing an empirical variogram
- Prediction
- Ordinary Kriging (OK)
- Universal Kriging (UK)
- Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Parameterizing an empirical variogram

- Problem: we do not know the random field, we only have an empirical variogram
 - · i.e., the semivariances and separation distances for all point-pairs
 - · We select a model form (see above) . . .
 - $\cdot \,$ this is the form of the assumed random field
 - ... now we need to **parameterize** it:
 - \cdot to interpret spatial structure
 - $\cdot \,$ to be used in kriging

Method 1: Method of moments

basis of geostatistics DGR

Conceptual

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spat autocorrelation

Modelling spatia autocorrelation

Variogram models

Parameterizing an empirical variogram

Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED) **1** Bin the semivariances into a set of *m* distance intervals

Average the semivariances in each bin; now we have a relation y ~ h for m bins

3 Select a variogram model

 Estimate (by eye, or semi-automatic) initial parameters (range *a*, partial sill *c*, nugget *c*₀)

5 Fit by weighted non-linear least squares: e.g., gstat::fit.variogram

• *ad-hoc* weighting, generally $\propto n_h$, $\propto 1/h^2$

· gives more weight to closely-separated pairs, bins with more point-pairs

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

- Example aquifer elevation
- Example soil spatial variation
- Conceptual issues
- Definition Detecting spati
- autocorrelation Modelling spatial
- Variogram models
- Parameterizing an empirical variogram
- Prediction
- Ordinary Kriging (OK)
- Universal Kriging (UK)
- Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Empirical variogram and MoM fit



spherical model was selected by eye.

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example – aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatia

Variogram models

Parameterizing an empirical variogram

Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Problems with the Method of Moments

- · No objective way to select bins, cutoff
- Changing these will change the weighted least-squares fit (especially with small datasets)
- · ad-hoc fitting method

Method 2: Maximum likelihood

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

- A universal model of spatial variation
- Example aquifer elevation
- Example soil spatial variation Conceptual issues
- Geostatistics
- Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatia
- Variogram models
- Parameterizing an empirical variogram
- Prediction
- Ordinary Kriging (OK)
- Universal Kriging (UK)
- Kriging with External Drift (KED)

- Does not use binned point-pair semivariances; uses all point-pairs directly
 - Assumption: the *n* sample data come from a **multivariate normal distribution** (maybe after transformation)
 - We model the **joint** probability density of all point-pairs and then solve for the covariance parameters
 - This also requires the selection of a **model** to parameterize

Computation

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatia autocorrelation Modelling spatia autocorrelation

Variogram models

Parameterizing an empirical variogram

Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED) The **joint** probability density of all the points is computed as:

$$f(\mathbf{z}, \mu, \theta) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} |\mathbf{C}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{z} - \mu)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{C}^{-1} (\mathbf{z} - \mu)\right\}$$
(3)

- **z** the vector with the *n* sample data
- μ the vector with (unknown) means
- $\boldsymbol{\theta}~$ the vector with (unknown) **parameters** of the covariance function
- **C** the $n \times n$ variance-covariance matrix of the sample data.

C contains covariances (computed from the separation based θ) on its off-diagonals. There is no binning. This requires a **covariance model** which is applied to the separation between **all** pairs of points.

Solution by ML

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

- A universal model of spatial variation
- Example aquifer elevation
- Example soil spatial variation Conceptual issues
- Geostatistics
- Definition Detecting spatiautocorrelation
- Modelling spatia
- Variogram models
- Parameterizing an empirical variogram
- Prediction
- Ordinary Kriging (OK)
- Universal Kriging (UK)
- Kriging with External Drift (KED)

- · The probability density of can be regarded as a function of μ and θ with the data ${\bf z}$ fixed
 - This defines the likelihood $L(\mu, \theta | \mathbf{z})$, which can be **maximized** over the parameter space
 - e.g., geoR::likfit
 - $\cdot\,$ Similar to the REML fit to the covariance function in **gls**.

Table of Contents

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example – aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram

Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

A universal model of spatial variation Example - aquifer elevation Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

2 Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram **Prediction** Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Oniversal Kriging (UK)

4 Kriging with External Drift (KED)

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram

Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Predicting from a model of spatial autocorrelation and a set of observations

- Once we have a variogram model, it can be used to **predict** at unobserved locations.
- Model without trend: $Z(\mathbf{s}) = \mu + \varepsilon(\mathbf{s}) + \varepsilon'(\mathbf{s})$
- $\cdot\,$ The realization of the random field at point s is:
 - · some **mean value** μ ; plus . . .
 - · ... a **spatially-autocorrelated** random component $\varepsilon(\mathbf{s})$, with a defined covariance structure (e.g., a variogram model); plus ...
 - $\cdot \ \ldots$ pure **noise** $\epsilon'(s)$: nugget and lack of spatial correlation with increasing separation
 - Both the expected value (1st-order) and covariance structure (2nd-order) are **stationary**: the same everywhere in the field

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquife elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram

Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED) All of these have **no theory of spatial autocorrelation**, they have *ad hoc* implicit models of spatial structure:

- nearest neighbour (Thiessen polygons, Voronoi tessellation of space)
- · average of nearest k-neighbours
- \cdot average of nearest k-neighbours weighted by inverse distance to some power
- \cdot average of all neighbours within some radius
- $\cdot\,$ average of all neighbours within some radius weighted by inverse distance to some power

 \cdot ... with de-clustering of compact groups of known points Choice of k, radius by cross-validation.

Table of Contents

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram model Parameterizing au empirical variogram

Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

A universal model of spatial variation Example - aquifer elevation Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

2 Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (OK)

3 Universal Kriging (UK)

4 Kriging with External Drift (KED)

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram model

Parameterizing empirical

Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

A geostatistical prediction method: Ordinary Kriging (OK)

 The estimated value *z* at a point x₀ is predicted as the weighted average of the values at *all* sample points x_i:

$$\hat{z}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i z(\mathbf{x}_i)$$

- The weights λ_i assigned to the sample points **sum to 1**: $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i = 1$, therefore, the prediction is **unbiased**.
- Many other interpolators (e.g., inverse distance) are also linear unbiased, but OK is the "best" of all possible weightings

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram model Parameterizing an omnicical

variogram

Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

- $\cdot\,$ OK is called the "Best Linear Unbiased Predictor" (BLUP)
- · "best" \equiv lowest **prediction variance** of all possible weightings
 - $\cdot \,$ i.e., each prediction has the smallest possible confidence interval
- This criterion is used to derive the **OK system of** equations, which is solved to determine the weights for each sample point
- Weights depend on the **spatial covariance structure** as modelled by the **variogram model**.
- Spatial structure **between observations**, as well as **between observations and a prediction point**, is accounted for.

DGR

- A universal model of spatial variation
- Example aquifer elevation
- Example soil spatial variation Conceptual issues
- Geostatistics
- Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram model Parameterizing au
- empirical variogram
- Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

- The **prediction** and its variance are only as good as the **model of spatial structure**.
- Points closer to the point to be predicted have larger weights, according to the modelled spatial dependence
- · Clusters of points "reduce to" single equivalent points
 - \cdot i.e., over-sampling in a small area can't bias result
 - · automatically de-clusters
- Closer sample points "mask" further ones in the same direction
- Error estimate is based only on the **spatial configuration of the sample**, not the data values

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram model: Parameterizing ar empirical variogram

Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Experimenting with OK: E{Z}-Kriging



Copyright 2001-2002 by Dennis Walvoort. Laboratory for Soil Science & Geology, Wageningen University, The Netherlands

https://wiki.52north.org/AI_GEOSTATS/SWEZKriging

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical

variogram

Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

- Aim: minimize the prediction variance, subject to the unbiasedness and spatial covariance constraints.
- · Two ways to derive the OK system:

Regression As a special case of **weighted least-squares** prediction in the **generalized linear model** with orthogonal projections in linear algebra Minimization **Minimizing the kriging prediction variance** with calculus

- Approach (1) is mathematically more elegant and is an extension of linear modelling theory.
- Approach (2) is an application of standard minimization methods from differential calculus; but is not so transparent, because of the use of LaGrange multipliers.

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram

Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Matrix form of the Ordinary Kriging system

$$A\lambda = b$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{1}) & \gamma(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}) & \cdots & \gamma(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{N}) & 1 \\ \gamma(\mathbf{x}_{2}, \mathbf{x}_{1}) & \gamma(\mathbf{x}_{2}, \mathbf{x}_{2}) & \cdots & \gamma(\mathbf{x}_{2}, \mathbf{x}_{N}) & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \gamma(\mathbf{x}_{N}, \mathbf{x}_{1}) & \gamma(\mathbf{x}_{N}, \mathbf{x}_{2}) & \cdots & \gamma(\mathbf{x}_{N}, \mathbf{x}_{N}) & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_N \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_0) \\ \gamma(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_0) \\ \vdots \\ \gamma(\mathbf{x}_N, \mathbf{x}_0) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

DGR

- A universal model of spatial variation
- Example aquifer elevation
- Example soil spatial variation
- Conceptual issues
- Geostatistics
- Definition Detecting spatia autocorrelation Modelling spatia
- autocorrelation Variogram models
- Parameterizing an empirical
- Prediction
- Ordinary Kriging (OK)
- Universal Kriging (UK)
- Kriging with External Drift (KED)

- · **kriging weights** λ_i to be assigned to each observation point
 - \cdot semivariances γ between
 - **1** point to be predicted \mathbf{x}_0 and observation points \mathbf{x}_i ;
 - **2** pairs of observation points $(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)$
 - LaGrange multiplier ψ which enters in the prediction variance

Solution

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

- A universal model of spatial variation
- Example aquifer elevation
- Example soil spatial variation Conceptual issues
- Geostatistics
- Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical
- variogram
- Prediction
- Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED) • This is a system of N + 1 equations in N + 1 unknowns, so can be solved uniquely for the weights vector λ .

$$\lambda = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$$

- But to compute the matrix inverse A⁻¹ the A matrix (spatial structure) must be **positive definite**
- This is guaranteed for **authorized models** of spatial covariance

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

- Example aquifer elevation
- Example soil spatial variation

Geostatistics

- Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical
- Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

• Now we can **predict** at the point, as a weighted sum:

$$\widehat{Z}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i z \mathbf{x}_i)$$

· The kriging variance at a point is computed as:

$$\hat{\sigma}^2(\mathbf{x}_0) = \mathbf{b}^T \lambda$$

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issue

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram model: Parameterizing ar empirical variogram

Predictior

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Ordinary kriging (OK) predictions and variances

- 32 - 30 - 28 - 26 - 24 - 22

OK prediction, log-ppm Zn

Predictions, log(Cd) With postplot OK prediction variance, log-ppm Zn^2



Variance, Meuse log(Cd)² With sample points

DGR

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Characteristics of OK prediction

- **1** smooth: moving across the map, the kriging weights change smoothly, because the distance changes smoothly
- Prediction is "best" (given the model and data) at each point separately
- **3** But the map is not realistic as a whole (smoother than reality)
- Pure noise at each point represented by the prediction variance
- S Variance depends on the configuration of the sample points, not the data values!

Table of Contents

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram model: Parameterizing ar empirical variogram Prediction Ordinarx Kriging

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

A universal model of spatial variation Example - aquifer elevation Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging (OK)

3 Universal Kriging (UK)

4 Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Geostatistics with the universal model

basis of geostatistics DGR

Conceptual

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation

- Geostatistics
- Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction
- Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

- Recall: the universal model is: $Z(\mathbf{s}) = Z^*(\mathbf{s}) + \varepsilon(\mathbf{s}) + \varepsilon'(\mathbf{s})$
 - In the previous section we replaced $Z^*(\mathbf{s})$ with a constant $\mu \rightarrow \mathbf{1}^{st}$ order stationarity.
 - Now we return to the full model: both the **deterministic** and **spatially-autocorrelated** must be modelled
 - Question: How to separate the effects? or **how to model them in one step**?

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram

Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Adding non-stationarity to the model

- · Depends on the **source** of the non-stationarity
 - **1** Regional trend \rightarrow **Universal Kriging** (UK)
 - ② Spatially-distributed covariate → Kriging with External Drift (KED)
- These are **mathematically equivalent**, the difference is in the **base functions** that define the non-stationarity.

Universal Kriging (UK)

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

- A universal model of spatial variation
- Example aquifer elevation
- Example soil spatial variation
- Geostatistics
- Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction
- Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

- This is a **mixed predictor** which includes a **global trend** as a function of the **geographic coördinates** in the kriging system, as well as **local spatial dependence**.
- UK is recommended when there is evidence of 1st-order non-stationarity, i.e. the expected value varies across the map according to the coördinates, but there is still 2nd-order stationarity of the residuals from this trend.

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

UK - application example

The elevation of the top of a given sedimentary layer may have a regional trend, expressed by geologists as the **dip** (angle) and **strike** (azimuth). However, the layer may also be **locally**

thicker or thinner, or deformed, with spatial autocorrelation in this local structure - the **residuals** of the trend surface.

DGR

- A universal model of spatial variation
- Example aquifer elevation
- Example soil spatial variation
- _____
- Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction
- Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED) • The trend is modelled as a **linear combination** of *p* **base functions** $f_j(s)$ and *p* unknown constants β_j (these are the **parameters** of the base functions):

$$Z^*(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j f_j(\mathbf{s})$$

· Base functions for linear drift:

$$f_0(\mathbf{s}) = 1, f_1(\mathbf{s}) = x_1, f_2(\mathbf{s}) = x_2$$

where s_1 is one coördinate (say, E) and s_2 the other (say, N)

- · Note that $f_0(\mathbf{s}) = 1$ estimates the global mean (as in OK).
- Base functions for quadratic drift: also include second-order terms:

$$f_3(\mathbf{s}) = s_1^2, f_4(\mathbf{s}) = s_1 s_2, f_5(\mathbf{s}) = s_2^2$$

Base functions for UK

Unbiasedness of UK predictions

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing ar empirical variogram Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED) • The **unbiasedness** condition is expressed with respect to the **trend** as well as the overall mean (as in OK):

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i f_k(\mathbf{s_i}) = f_k(\mathbf{s_0}), \quad \forall k$$

- The expected value at each point of all the **functions** must be that predicted by that function. The first of these is the overall mean (as in OK).
- **Example** for a linear trend: If $f_1(\mathbf{s}_0) = s_1$, then at each point \mathbf{s}_0 the expected value must be s_1 , i.e. the point's E coördinate:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i s_i = s_1$$

This is a **further restriction** on the weights λ .

The UK system (1)

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation Example - aqu

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issue

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing ar empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Αυλυ	=	bυ						
		$\gamma(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_1)$		$\gamma(\mathbf{x}_1,\!\mathbf{x}_N)$	1	$f_1(\mathbf{x}_1)$		$f_k(\mathbf{x}_1)$
		:		÷	÷	÷		÷
		$\gamma(\mathbf{x}_N, \mathbf{x}_1)$		$\gamma(\mathbf{x}_N,\!\mathbf{x}_N)$	1	$f_1(\mathbf{x}_N)$		$f_k(\mathbf{x}_N)$
Au	=	1		1	0	0		0
		$f_1(\mathbf{x}_1)$		$f_1(\mathbf{x}_N)$	0	0		0
		:	÷	:	÷	÷	÷	÷
		$f_k(\mathbf{x}_1)$		$f_k(\mathbf{x}_N)$	0	0		0

The upper-left block $N \times N$ block is the spatial correlation structure (as in OK)

The lower-left $k \times n$ block and its transpose in the upper-right are the trend predictor values at sample points The rest of the matrix fits with λ_U and \mathbf{b}_U to set up the solution.

The UK system (2)

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction

Ordinary Krigin (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

		г л. т			$\gamma(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_0)$
					:
,			h		$\gamma(\mathbf{x}_N,\mathbf{x}_0)$
ΛU	=	ψ_0	Սս	-	
		ψ_1			$f_1(\mathbf{x_0})$
					$f_k(\mathbf{x_0})$

The λ_{U} vector contains the *N* weights for the sample points and the k + 1 LaGrange multipliers (1 for the overall mean and *k* for the trend model)

 \mathbf{b}_{U} is structured like an additional column of \mathbf{A}_{u} , but referring to the point to be predicted.

Predicting by UK

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

- A universal model of spatial variation
- Example aquifer elevation
- Example soil spatial variation Conceptual issues
- Geostatistics
- Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram
- Prediction Ordinary Krig
- (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED) • Same as OK: a weighted linear combination of values at known points:

$$\hat{Z}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i z(\mathbf{x}_i)$$

- But, the weights λ_i for each sample point take into account both the global trend and local spatial autocorrelation of the trend residuals.
- $\cdot\,$ The UK system must include both of these.

DGR

- A universal model of spatial variation
- Example aquifer elevation
- Example soil spatial variation Conceptual issues
- Geostatistics
- Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram model Parameterizing au empirical variogram
- Prediction
- Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Computing the empirical semivariogram for UK

- The semivariances γ are based on the **residuals**, not the original data, because the **random field** part of the spatial structure applies only **after** any trend has been removed.
- · How to obtain?
 - Calculate the best-fit surface, with the same base functions to be used in UK;
 - Subtract the trend surface at the data points from the data value to get residuals;
 - 3 Compute the variogram of the residuals.
 - One that gstat::variogram can do this in one step.
- **Problem**: the trend should have taken the spatial correlation into account!

DGR

- A universal model of spatial variation
- Example aquifer elevation
- Example soil spatial variation Conceptual issues
- Geostatistics
- Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram model Parameterizing ar empirical variogram
- Prediction
- Ordinary Krigin

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

- If there is a strong trend, the variogram model **parameters** for the residuals will be very different from the original variogram model, since **the global trend has taken out some of the variation**, i.e. that due to the long-range structure.
- · The ususal case is:
 - · lower sill (less total variability)
 - · shorter range (long-range structure removed)
- In theory, the **nugget** should be unchanged (residual variance at a point is not removed by a trend)

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquife elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Krining

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Example original vs. residual variogram



Variograms, Oxford soils, CEC (cmol+ kg-1 soil)

Note lower (partial, total) sill, shorter range, same nugget

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram

Ordinary Krig

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

UK: Local vs. Global trends

As with OK, UK can be used two ways:

- **Globally**: using **all** sample points when predicting each point
- Locally, or in patches: restricting the sample points used for prediction to some search radius (or sometimes number of neighbours) around the point to be predicted
Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

- A universal model of spatial variation
- Example aquifer elevation
- Example soil spatial variation Conceptual issues
- Geostatistics
- Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing ar empirical variogram
- Prediction
- Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

- This allows the **trend surface to vary** over the study area, since it is **re-computed at each prediction point**
- Appropriate to smooth away some local variation in a trend
- · Difficult to justify theoretically
- Note that the **residual variogram** was not computed in patches, but assuming a global trend
- · Leads to some patchiness in the map
- There should be some **evidence of patch size**, perhaps from the original (*not* residual) variogram; this can be used as the search radius.

Table of Contents

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

A universal model of spatial variation

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

3 Universal Kriging (UK)



4 Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

- A universal model of spatial variation
- Example aquifer elevation
- Example soil spatial variation Conceptual issues
- Geostatistics
- Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram model Parameterizing ar empirical variogram Prediction
- Ordinary Kriging

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED)

- This is a **mixed predictor** that includes **feature-space predictors**, rather than geographic coördinates (as in UK).
 - The **mathematics are exactly as for UK**, but the *base functions* are different.
- · UK vs. KED:
 - In UK, the base functions refer to the **grid coördinates**; these are by definition known at any prediction point.
 - In KED, the base functions refer to some **feature-space covariates** . . .
 - $\cdot \ \ldots$ measured at the sample points (so we can use it to set up the predictive equations) and
 - **also known at all prediction points** (so we can use it in the prediction itself).

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation Example - aqu

elevation Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction Ordinary Kriging

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED) Soil thickness partly depends topographic position (typically, thicker on hilltops and in valleys than on side slopes). This can be represented by geomorphometric parameters (relative elevation, slope gradient, profile curvature ...), which are available over the whole area from a digital elevation model (DEM). But there are local variations within this that are

spatially-correlated due to local factors not captured in the DEM (e.g., tree throw, small mass movements). There may be spatial autocorrelation in this local structure – the **residuals** of the deterministic model.

Base functions for KED

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

A universal model of spatial variation

Example - aquifer elevation

Example - soil spatial variation Conceptual issues

Geostatistics

Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an empirical variogram Prediction

Ordinary Kriging (OK)

Universal Kriging (UK)

Kriging with External Drift (KED) There are two kinds of feature-space covariates:

1 strata, i.e., factors, categorical variables. Examples: soil type, flood frequency class

• Base function: $f_k(\mathbf{s}) = 1$ iff sample or prediction point **s** is in class *k*, otherwise 0 (class indicator variable)

2 continuous covariates. Examples: elevation, NDVI

• Base function: $f_k(\mathbf{s}) = v(\mathbf{s})$, i.e. the value of the predictor at the point.

Note that $f_0(\mathbf{s}) = 1$ for all models; this estimates the global mean (as in OK).

Conclusion

Conceptual basis of geostatistics

DGR

- A universal model of spatial variation
- Example aquifer elevation
- Example soil spatial variation
- Constatistics
- Definition Detecting spatial autocorrelation Modelling spatial autocorrelation Variogram models Parameterizing an
- empiricai variogram
- Prediction
- Ordinary Kriging (OK)
- Universal Kriging (UK)
- Kriging with External Drift (KED)

- Identify the sources of spatial variation in the universal model:
 - · geographic trend
 - · dependence on a spatially-distributed covariate
 - · local spatial correlation
 - \cdot pure error
 - · Model accordingly.