Department of Crop and Soil Sciences Extension Series No. E00-1 January, 2000

# **SOYBEAN VARIETY YIELD TEST IN 1999**

by

William J. Cox
Dept. of Crop and Soil Sciences

N.Y.S. College of Agriculture and Life Sciences Cornell University Ithaca, NY 14853

## SOYBEAN VARIETY YEILD TEST IN 19991

#### Introduction

The annual testing of Roundup Ready and non-Roundup Ready varieties and experimental lines of soybeans was conducted at four locations in New York State in 1999. Entries in Maturity Groups 00, 0 and I were tested at all locations. Entries in Group II were included at Aurora and Mt. Morris, the sites that represent the warmer parts of Upstate New York. Also, food grade soybean varieties (mostly Group II) were tested at Aurora and Mt. Morris. All seed companies known to be distributing soybeans in the state were invited to enter their selections in these tests, at a fee. Some unsponsored varieties, mainly those from public (state and provincial college, or Canadian federal) breeding programs, were also included in the tests.

At each location we planted all entries of the same maturity Group in a separate test. Also, Roundup Ready, non-Roundup Ready, and food grade varieties were evaluated in separate tests. Each individual plot at Canton and Chazy consisted of four 20-foot rows spaced 14 inches apart; at Aurora and Mt. Morris there were 10 rows spaced 7 inches apart. There were four replications of each entry at each location. We used preemergence applications of herbicides in the non-Roundup Ready tests, whereas we relied exclusively on 3 pints/acre of Roundup Ultra for weed control in the Roundup Ready tests.

During the season, notes on development were made at intervals. Yields were determined by harvesting a measured section of the center rows in each plot. All plots were harvested with a Hege plot combine.

## **Aurora and Mt. Morris**

Both sites were exceptionally dry and warm from May through July, especially at the Aurora site. The Aurora site received only 4.7 in. of precipitation from May through July, 5.6 in. below normal, and 1457 GDD, about 140 GDD above normal (Table 1). Although the Mt. Morris site also received below normal precipitation and above average GDD during the same period, the deep soils with high water-holding capacity prevented the occurrence of severe drought at this site. Because of the warm and dry conditions, the Group O and Group I varieties attained the R6 growth stage by mid-August at the Aurora site, about 3 weeks earlier than normal. Despite normal temperatures and 2.7 in. of precipitation in August at Aurora, the soybeans never recovered form drought-stress at this site. Consequently, the 1999 soybean varieties at Aurora were the shortest on record with the lowest yields ever. Because of the deep soils at Mt. Morris, the yields at that site were close to normal.

In the non-Roundup Ready trials, Group O soybeans averaged 26 bu/acre in yield compared with 30 bu/acre for Group I varieties and 39 bu/acre for Group II varieties at the Aurora site (Table 2). Under stress-free conditions, yields usually increase about 3 to 4 bu/acre as

These test are the product of cooperative efforts of many individuals at Ithaca and outlying locations in Neew York State: D.J. Otis, Aurora and Mt. Morris; G.K. Thompson, Canton; Mike Davis, D.G. Meseck and M.L. LaDUke, Chazy (Minor Institute); John English, Mt. Morris; K.H.Rhodes, statistical analysis.

the Maturity Group increases from Group O to Group I to Group II varieties. The much greater yields for the Group II varieties, which were about 7 days later in development compared with the Group I varieties, probably reflects somewhat more favorable climate conditions during the R3 to R6 stage for Group II compared with Group I varieties. In the Roundup Ready trials, however, Group O varieties averaged 23 bu/acre in yield compared with 31 bu/acre for Group I varieties and 33 bu/acre for Group II varieties (Table 3). As in the two previous years at the Aurora site, which were droughty during August, the Roundup Ready varieties at Aurora yielded about 3 bu/acre less than the non-Roundup Ready varieties. Because the Roundup Ready and non-Roundup Ready varieties generally yield the same at the Mt. Morris site where droughty conditions usually don't occur, the lower yields for Roundup Ready varieties at the Aurora site may be stress-induced.

In the non-Roundup Ready trials, Group O varieties averaged 75 bu/acre in yield compared with 81 bu/acre for Group I and 84 bu/acre for Group II varieties at the Mt. Morris site (Table 2). In the Roundup Ready trials, Group O varieties averaged 78 bu/acre in yield compared with 80 bu/acre for Group I and 84 bu/acre for Group II varieties (Table 3). Generally, the varieties that yielded the greatest at the Mt. Morris site also yielded best at the droughty Aurora site in both the Roundup and non-Roundup Ready trials. This indicates excellent yield stability for the highest yielding varieties at Aurora and Mt. Morris.

We initiated a food grade soybean variety trial at Aurora and Mt. Morris because we anticipate that the demand for food grade soybeans will increase greatly in the coming years with the recent FDA ruling. In October, 1999, the FDA proclaimed that products that contain 6.25 g of soybean can carry labels claiming the health benefits of the product. Consequently, we expect increased acreage of food grade soybean varieties in the coming years.

We tested 20 food grade soybean varieties at Aurora and Mt. Morris with the majority of varieties coming from the food grade variety breeding program from Iowa State University. We also included three varieties from Pioneer, which had clear or buff-colored hilums, an essential characteristic of food grade varieties, but weren't bred for high-protein and large seed characteristics. Consequently, the Pioneer hybrids served as excellent yield checks for the food grade varieties. We also included Vinton 81, the standard food grade variety for organic tofu use in Japan, as a quality check for the other food grade varieties.

When averaged across the two locations, three Pioneer varieties (Group II and early Group III varieties) yielded more than the food grade varieties, which were Group II varieties (Table 3). Pioneer 9163, a mid-Group I variety, yielded the same as most of the good grade varieties. IA 2041 and IA 2020 did yield more than Vinton 81. The food grade varieties generally had somewhat poor stand establishment compared with the Pioneer varieties under the dry May conditions, which may have contributed to their lower yields.

When averaged across sites, IA 2041 and Harrowvinton, an improved Vinton 81 variety from Canada, had the greatest protein concentration among varieties (Table 4). The greater yield potential and higher protein concentration of IA 2041 compared with Vinton 81 makes this variety an excelent candidate to replace Vinton 81 as the standard food grade variety. Unfortunately, IA 2041 had about the lowest seed weight or seed size among food grade varieties, another important characteristic of food grade soybeans. IA 2020, which also yielded greater than Vinton 81, had a slightly larger seed than Vinton 81. Unfortunately, IA 2020 had less protein concentration when compared with Vinton 81. We will conduct this study a couple of more years to determine the best adapted food grade soybean varieties in New York.

### **Canton and Chazy**

Both sites were exceptionally warm but much wetter than most regions in the state during June and July (Table 1). Both sites, however, did dry out during August. Consequently, soybean yields at the Canton site, which had a somewhat droughty soil, were exceptionally low because of the dry August conditions (Table 5). In contrast, yields were exceptionally high at the Chazy site, which has a deep soil with high water holding capacity (Table 5).

When averaged across sites, Sentry, a Group 0 variety, yielded the greatest among Group 0 varieties. Sentry, however, yielded much more than the other varieties at the high-yielding Chazy site but similarly as the other varieties in the low-yielding Canton environment. Evidently, Sentry does not have as great a yield stability as some leading varieties have shown in recent years. Nevertheless, Sentry, which averaged 3 to 7 bu/acre more in yield than other Group 0 varieties, yielded about 10 bu/acre more at Chazy compared with other varieties, except for Telstar. As in the past, the Group I varieties offered no yield advantage compared with the Group 0 varieties at Canton and Chazy. AG 1301 yielded the most in the Roundup Ready trial at Canton and the same as the other Roundup Ready varieties at Chazy. Overall, the Roundup Ready varieties yielded as well as the non-Roundup Ready varieties at both sites.

Table 1. Monthly and total precipitation and growing degree days (86-50 system) at the four soybean variety testing sites in New

		PRECIPIT	PITATION		9	SROWING DEGREE DAYS	GREE DA	YS
fonth	Aurora	Mt.Morris	Canton	Chazy	Aurora	Mt.Morris	Canton	Chazy
day	May 1.1	2.4	2.6	<i>L</i> :0	381	368	398	361
ane	1.6	6:1	2.8	2.4	607	. 568	555	575
uly	2.0	2.5	4.3	4.5	734	708	629	664
August	2.7	3.8	1.8	0.7	577	535	481	528
Sept	i		1	1	41	<u>436</u>	430	408
	74	10.6	1.5	(° ∝	0220	2615	2493	2536

Table 2. Soybean yields, height, lodging, and physiological maturity of early, medium, and late maturing non-Roundup Ready and Roundup Ready soybean varieties at Aurora, and Mt. Morris, New York in 1999.

	•	<u>Yield</u>		H	<u>Height</u>	Loc	Lodging	Ma	Maturity
	Aurora	Mt.Morris	<u>Avg.</u>		(iii)	C	(1-5)	<b>3</b>	(date)
Variety		bu/acre		Aurora	Mt.Morris	Aurora	Mt.Morris	Aurora	Mt.Morris
				Early (	Early (Group 0)				
OAC 97-06	28	79	22	23	42	1.0	3.5	9/4	9/20
Telstar	28	78	53	22	43	0:1	2.5	9/6	07/6
Sentry	29	76	53	23	4	1.0	3.0	9/6	9/2.1
PR9746	24	79	52	73	45	0.1	1.7	9/4	9/20
APK020	27	75	27	21	38	0.1	3.1	9/2	9/18
OAC Auburn	24	L	51	20	L <sub>C</sub>	0.1	∞.⊣	9/2	61/6
Enterprise	26	73	50	7	37	1.0	3.3	9/2	7176
Bayfield	28	7	50	21	37	1.0	3.3	9/2	7176
OAC Embryo	24	72	48	20	35	1.0	<b>'</b>	8/30	91/6
Korada	22	72	48	. 20	35	1.0	1.5	8/30	9/16
LSD 0.05	2	L	4	SN	S	SN	10		
				Medium	· (Group I)				
APK184	35	<b>8</b>	9	23	37	1.0	2.5	9/10	9/25
S19-90	31	82	57	22	æ.	1,0	2.0	<i>L</i> /6	9/23
APKX172	27	83	55	21	37	1.0	2.5	8/6	9/23
APKX174	27	79	53	21	36	1.0	2.3	8/6	9/24
Secan 97-59	28	78	53	23	40	1.0	2.3	9/4	9/21
LSD 0.05	ъ	NS	7	SN	Ş	SN	SN		
				Late ((	Late (Group II)				
APK 259	37	88	3	R	42	0	2.6	9/11	9/29
X9818	41	82	62	24	4	0;	٠.	6/6	9/28
S24-92	41	82	62	25	40	0	2.7	9/12	08/6
LSD 0.05	SN	SN	SN	SN	7	SN	SZ		

Table 2 cont.		<u>Yield</u>		4	<u>Height</u>	Ĭ	Lodging	e <u>M</u>	<u>Maturity</u>
	Aurora	Mt.Morris	Avg.		(iii)	J	(1-5)	9	(date)
Variety		bu/acre		Aurora	Mt.Morris	Aurora	Mt.Morris	Aurora	Mt.Morris
				Roundup R	Roundup Ready Group 0				
APKX171RR	27	8	54	2	43	1.0	1.5	9/5	9/20
CX085RR	22	78	20	19	37	1.0	1.5	<b>6</b> /4	9/20
APK112RR	23	76	50	21	40	o. T	∞.	9/3	9/18
90B93	19	<u>L</u>	48	20	35	1.0	1.3	9/3	9/19
LSD 0.05	4	NS	3	NS	\$	NS	NS		
				Roundup R	Roundup Ready Group I				
AG1901	36	83	09	24	48	1.0	2.2	9/10	9/25
X9919RR	30	87	59	22	4	1.0	2.3	9/10	9/25
S20-B9	30	85	58	23	46	0.1	2.2	9-13	9/27
APKX173RR	35	08	58	21	42	1.0	2.2	6/6	9/23
APK190RR	32	83	58	23	43	1.0	2.2	9/13	9/27
APK198RR	33	8	57	7	.50	0.1	3,4	9/12	9/27
APK143RR	33	80	57	22	4	1:0	2,4	6/6	9/25
CX198RR	29	79	54	21	41	1.0	2.3	9/11	9//26
CX150RR	26	80	53	20	48	1.0	2.5	<i>L</i> /6	9/23
91B64	28	22	52	21	40	1.0	2.2	8/6	9/24
CX194RR	29	74	52	<b>5</b>	48	1.0	3.8	9/10	9/25
AG1301	30	73	52	22	37	1.0	2.0	9/6	9/23
1.50005	3	6	4	2	4	NS	0.7		

	10/1	10/1	104	9/30	9/28	9/29	10/1	10/1	10/3	10/3	1/01		
	9/14	9/14	91/6	9/14	9/11	9/11	9/13	9/13	9/15	9/15	9/14		
	2.3	2.0	2.6	2.5	2.2	2.0	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.3	0.4	
	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.4	1:0	1.0	1.0	NS	
Roundup Ready Group II	42	43	50	46	47	40	41	£4	45	40	41		
Roundup Re	7	24	28	25	23	21	21	24	25	23	20	3	
	49	9	9	59	59	59	28	58	58	26	55	4	
	92	88	85	87	\$2	98	85	8	82	92	79	<b>∞</b>	
	35	33	36	31	33	7	31	3	33	35	30	4	
	X9924RR	92B71	AG2702	CX285RR	X9923RR	92B21	APK256RR	CX242RR	CX257RR	AG2602	AG2301	LSD 0.05	

Table 3. Soybean yields, height, lodging, and physiological maturity of food grade soybean varieties at Aurora and Mt. Morris, New York in 1999.

		$\overline{\text{Yield}}$		al al	<u>Height</u>	3	Suliano-		<u>Maturity</u>
	Aurora	Mt.Morris	Avg.		(n.)	J	(1-5)	ë	(date)
Variety		bu/acre		Aurora	Mt.Morris	Aurora	Mt.Morris	Aurora	Mt.Morris
9244	36	95	99	23	42	1.0	2.0	9/17	10/1
9305	36	16	4	23	45	0.1	2.0	9/20	10/3
92B91	38	87	63	25	47	0.1	2.3	9/18	101
LA2041	35	84	9	26	42	1.0	<b>%</b> .	9/18	10/2
IA2020	4	73	57	30	48	1.0	2.7	9/16	101
IA2012	32	80	99	23	39	1.0	2.0	9/14	9/30
LA2034	37	74	Şe	26	48	0.1	2.2	9/18	10/3
IA2029	36	74	55	23	52	0	2.3	21/6	101
IA 2042	37	73	55	23	4	0.1	2.5	9/15	9/30
9163	32	1	55	23	45	 	2.0	9/11	9/28
IA2040	35	73	24	24	46	1.0	2.5	9/19	10/2
HP204	35	73	2,	27	48	1.0	3.0	9/14	9/30
Vinton81	33	75	54	27	46	1.0	2.5	91/6	10/1
IA 2032	32	74	53	24	46	0.	2.5	9/17	10/1
IA 1007	23	75	53	23	4	0.1	2.0	81/6	10/2
IA 2027	30	72	51	7	49	1.0	2.0	9/16	101
AC 756	27	75	51	23	45	1:0	2.8	9/13	9/29
Harrowvinton	29	7	20	24	46	1.0	2.5	9/16	9/30
Aconrel	30	1.9	49	28	R	1.0	2.0	9/15	9/29
1 2025	33	64	49	23	42	1.0	2.3	9/17	10/1
1.SD 0.05	9	10	•	5	9	SZ	90		

Table 4. Protein concentration, oil concentration, and seed weight of food grade soybean varieties at Aurora and Mt. Morris, New York in 1999.

		Protein (%)			Oil (%)			100 Seed wt (g)	
Variety	Aurora	Mt.Morris	Avg.	Aurora	Mt.Morris	Avg.	Aurora	Mt.Morris	Avg.
Harrowvinton	42.2	41.0	41.6	15.2	15.2	15.2	30.8	32.5	31.7
IA 2041	41.5	41.6	41.6	16.3	15.6	16.0	26.8	30.2	28.5
I 2025	41.7	40.5	41.1	16.8	16.1	16.5	26.8	30.2	28.5
Vinton 81	41.2	40.1	40.7	15.8	15.5	15.7	30.8	32.5	31.7
IA 2034	40.8	40.3	40.6	15.7	14.8	15.3	27.1	29.6	28.3
AC756	40.8	40.0	40.4	15.6	15.7	15.7	29.6	31.3	30.5
HP204	.41.2	39.5	40.3	16.1	16.1	16.1	30.0	31.4	30.7
IA 2042	40.8	39.6	40.2	16.5	15.8	16.2	28.7	33.6	31.2
Aconrel	39.8	40.2	40.0	15.7	14.8	15.3	34.0	40.3	37.2
IA 2032	40.4	39.0	39.7	16.4	16.3	16.4	28.3	33.4	30.1
IA 2029	40.6	38.7	39.7	16.8	16.6	16.7	28.7	29.9	29.3
IA 2020	39.8	39.0	39.4	16.9	16.2	16.6	30.5	33.6	32.0
IA 2027	40.0	38.2	39.1	16.7	17.0	16.9	27.6	31.9	29.8
IA 1007	39.9	38.3	39.1	15.5	\[\bar{9}\]	15.8	36.1	38.7	37.4
AC 756	38.4	38.8	38.6	15.6	15.7	15.7	29.6	e. [c.	30.5
IA 2012	38.1	38.6	38.4	16.5	15.6	16.3	34.1	38.4	36.2
9305	37.8	37.5	37.6	17.9	17.4	17.7	23.8	26.1	24.9
9244	37.1	35.8	36.4	18.2	17.8	18.0	21.3	22.7	22.0
92B91	36.0	35.6	35.8	19.5	17.9	18.7	17.8	20.4	19.1
9163	35.2	35.4	35.3	18.7	18.3	18.5	20.7	22.8	21.8
LSD 0.05	6.0	0.7	9.0	0.5	0.4	0.3	1.8	8.	1.5

Table 5. Soybean yields, height, lodging, and physiological maturity of early, medium, and Roundup Ready soybean varieties at Canton, and Chazy, New York in 1999.

		$\overline{Yield}$		Height	ght	<u>Lod</u>	Lodging	Maturity	<u>Mity</u>
	Canton	Chazy	Avg.	( <b>ii</b> )	•	(1-5)	S	(date)	te)
Variety		bu/acre		Canton	Chazy	Canton	Chazy	Canton	Chazy
				Early (Group O)	<u>(ronp O)</u>				
Sentry	32	28	55	29	3	1:0	0.1	9/24	9/13
Telstar	30	73	52	30	848	1.0	1.0	9/24	9/13
APK020	35	<i>L</i> 9	51	28	43	1.0	1.0	9/20	76
OAC Aubum	32	89	51	30	43	1.0	1.0	9/20	<i>L</i> /6
OAC 97.06	33	19	20	29	4	1.0	1.0	9/20	1/6
OAC Embryo	31	69	50	30	7	0.1	1.0	9/25	9/13
OAC Bayfield	33	99	50	30	42	1.0	1.0	9/20	<i>1</i> /6
Enterprise	32	99	49	27	T	1.0	1.0	57/6	9 <u>1</u> 3
Korada	35	61	48	25	39	0.1	1.0	9/20	L/6
PR9746	26	4	45	67	<del>*</del>	1.0	1.0	9/20	- 2/4
LSD 0.05	9	L	۶	<b>ት</b>	5	NS	SN		
				Medium (	(Group I)		19		
APKX174	31	73	52	29	41	1.0	1.0	10/1	9/15
APKX172	35	<i>L</i> 9	51	29	40	1.0	1.0	10/1	9/15
Secan 97-59	*	89	51	30	- 20	1.0	1,0	9724	1/6
LSD 0.05	SN	NS.	SN	SN	*	SN	SN		
				Roundup Ready	<u>p Ready</u>				
AG1301	34	-	53	<b>.</b>	40	1.0	1.0	9/24	01/6
91B64	27	7	51	27	40	1.0	1.0	9/27	9/15
APKX171RR	26	70	48	<b>7</b> 9	47	1.0	1.0	9/25	6/11
90 <b>B</b> 93	28	67	48	24	38	1.0	1.0	61/6	9/6
LSD 0.05	7	SN	Ş	3	*	SN	SS		