

Supplementary Online Material

Medium-term effects of corn biochar addition on soil biota activities and functions in a temperate soil cropped to corn

Xavier Domene^{1,2,3,*}, Stefania Mattana¹, Kelly Hanley³, Akio Enders³, Johannes Lehmann³

¹*CREAF, Cerdanyola del Vallès 08193, Spain*

²*Univ Autònoma Barcelona, Cerdanyola del Vallès 08193, Spain*

³*Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853, United States*

*Corresponding author. CREAM. Facultat de Ciències i Biociències. Autonomous University of Barcelona. Cerdanyola del Vallès 08193, Barcelona, Spain, Phone: +34935 811 987, Fax: +34935 814 151

E-mail addresses: x.domene@creaf.uab.es (Xavier Domene), s.mattana@creaf.uab.es (Stefania Mattana), klh54@cornell.edu (Kelly Hanley), ae55@cornell.edu (Akio Enders), CL273@cornell.edu (Johannes Lehmann).

Table S1. Mean annual values for the physicochemical measurements in the different plots and treatments, all expressed on a dry weight basis.

Biochar t/ha	Plot	Sand %	Silt %	Clay %	Moisture %	pH	EC μScm^{-1}	SOC %	P-PO4 mg kg^{-1}	Cl mg kg^{-1}	N-NO2 mg kg^{-1}	N-NO3 mg kg^{-1}	N-NH4 mg kg^{-1}	S-SO4 mg kg^{-1}
0	4	61.5	20.3	18.2	16.3	6.9	73.1	1.7	1.5	13.7	0.7	22.9	8.3	27.5
	8	62.2	20.2	17.6	16.1	6.9	64.5	1.6	1.2	16.3	1.2	19.5	9.7	43.0
	16	56.1	19.3	24.6	17.5	7.1	69.9	1.7	0.8	18.3	0.9	7.9	1.4	80.2
3 (1 per year)	11	62.7	19.4	17.9	17.4	7.1	72.4	1.8	1.9	22.3	0.9	9.1	9.3	138.9
	18	56.9	23.9	19.2	19.2	7.2	77.1	2.2	1.4	40.2	0.6	16.4	2.0	59.3
	31	59.5	24.8	15.7	19.7	7.1	71.7	2.4	1.0	22.4	0.2	7.6	0.9	114.4
3	6	68.7	16.7	14.7	17.0	7.0	64.8	2.2	1.6	14.3	0.9	14.6	8.5	41.0
	10	62.8	21.1	16.1	19.0	7.0	69.8	2.0	1.7	10.7	0.9	12.6	8.9	75.7
	35	56.3	26.0	17.7	19.6	7.1	79.1	2.5	2.5	19.2	1.1	7.8	0.2	137.4
12	1	60.0	22.0	18	15.0	7.1	66.2	1.7	4.3	29.2	2.5	7.1	13.1	130.2
	13	63.4	20.9	15.7	16.8	7.1	71.3	2.3	3.0	12.8	0.9	13.9	9.5	95.5
	29	58.3	18.7	22.9	21.8	7.1	90.4	2.5	1.3	22.4	0.6	15.3	0.4	115.4
30	14	63.1	15.3	21.6	17.8	7.1	70.1	1.7	1.3	21.5	1.1	11.8	9.8	89.1
	27	59.1	22.9	18	22.7	7.2	58.8	2.8	1.0	29.9	1.0	9.7	1.3	26.6
	36	58.2	25.0	16.9	20.9	7.2	82.4	2.8	2.5	19.0	1.4	8.5	0.4	136.2

Table S2. Generalized linear models with best goodness of fit (lowest AICc) of microbial measurements using explanatory variables from the summer sampling, when those microbial measurements were carried out. All the parameters are significant in itself in the model except those indicated as (ns).

Response variable	Explanatory variable coefficients							AICc	r^2
	<i>intercept</i>	<i>moisture</i>	<i>sand</i>	<i>loam</i>	<i>clay</i>	<i>SOC.summer</i>	<i>NO₃.summer</i>		
logMCB	1.315	0.068						-10.6	0.56
BAS	-229.2		2.287	2.317	2.316			-13.9	0.62
CMC	0.440						-0.006 (ns)	-19.5	0.14
qCO2	0.017	0.0004	-0.0001			0.0008(ns)		-178.8	0.68

Table S3. Pairwise Pearson correlation coefficients of the biological responses assessed. Fauna feeding rates correspond to the mean of the summer and fall values. Significant relationships are indicated by coefficients highlighted in bold and asterisks indicating the significance (*= $p \leq 0.05$, **= $p \leq 0.01$); n=15.

	Biochar rate	Fauna feedingrate (quantitative)	Fauna feedingrate (qualitative)	Decomposition (2mm-mesh)	Decomposition (0.1mm-mesh)	BAS	CMC	logMCB	qCO2	PO4 mineralization	Cl mineralization	NO2+NO3 mineralization	SO4 mineralization	NH4 mineralization	CO2 mineralization	
Biochar rate	1.00															
Fauna feeding (quantitative)	0.42	1.00														
Fauna feeding (qualitative)	0.43	0.97**	1.00													
Decomposition (2mm-mesh)	0.06	0.23	0.17	1.00												
Decomposition (0.1mm-mesh)	0.36	0.03	0.05	0.69**	1.00											
BAS	0.23	-0.28	-0.27	-0.15	0.09	1.00										
CMC	0.06	-0.14	-0.18	-0.23	-0.19	0.69**	1.00									
logMCB	0.50	0.07	0.03	0.59*	0.44	0.30	0.09	1.00								
qCO2	-0.27	-0.17	-0.15	-0.71**	-0.42	0.06	0.16	-0.84**	1.00							
PO4 mineralization	-0.08	-0.17	-0.13	-0.27	-0.24	-0.19	0.22	-0.16	0.10	1.00						
Cl mineralization	-0.43	0.01	0.00	-0.03	-0.43	-0.01	0.36	0.03	-0.04	0.06	1.00					
NO2+NO3 mineralization	0.52*	0.23	0.16	-0.09	0.09	-0.07	-0.16	0.05	0.25	-0.14	-0.36	1.00				
SO4 mineralization	-0.42	0.16	0.16	0.31	-0.06	-0.12	0.24	0.08	-0.19	0.24	0.77**	-0.37	1.00			
NH4 mineralization	0.51	0.13	0.04	0.35	0.21	-0.12	-0.21	0.72**	-0.54*	-0.09	-0.05	0.48	-0.06	1.00		
CO2 mineralization	0.38	-0.22	-0.23	0.15	0.18	0.56*	0.39	0.60*	-0.39	-0.10	0.02	0.18	-0.15	0.22	1.00	

Table S5. Generalized linear models for litter decomposition, expressed as percent, derived using as explanatory variables the soil properties values measured in the fall sampling.

Response variable	Explanatory variable coefficients								
	<i>intercept</i>	<i>biochar</i>	<i>logMCB</i>	<i>clay</i>	<i>pH</i>	<i>SOC</i>	<i>Cl</i>	<i>AICc</i>	<i>r</i> ²
2-mmmesh litterbags	-1.235	0.002			0.259		-0.002	-62.7	0.72
0.16-mmmesh litterbags	0.179		0.063	0.007		0.044		-65.2	0.74

Table S6. Generalized linear models for litter mineralization rates, expressed as $\text{mg kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{day}^{-1}$, using as explanatory variables those collected on the summer sampling, when this assay was carried out. All the parameters are significant in itself in the model except those indicated as (ns).

Response variable	Explanatory variable coefficients							AIC	r ²
	<i>intercept</i>	<i>logMCB</i>	<i>moisture</i>	<i>loam</i>	<i>pH.summer</i>	<i>PO4.summer</i>	<i>Cl.summer</i>		
P-PO4	0.099		-0.005				-0.003	-74.2	0.46
Cl	9.645				-1.379	0.796		-24.1	0.76
N-NO2+NO3	0.039	-0.029(ns)				0.093		-55.8	0.38
N-NH4	-0.084	0.024				0.051		-103.7	0.70
S-SO4	1.321				-0.204			-61.9	0.57
C-CO2	-0.163	0.038		0.002(ns)				-84.3	0.51

Figure S1. Mean soil soluble ion content in summer (black bars) and fall (white bars), together with the standard deviation (n=3 plots). Asterisks indicate significant differences in the values measured in the biochar-amended plots compared to control plots (0 t ha⁻¹).

